arXiv:hep-th/9909186v1 27 Sep 1999

hep-th/9909186

The Hadamard Function and the Feynman Propagator in the AdS/CFT Correspondence

Shijong Ryang

Department of Physics
Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine
Taishogun, Kyoto 603-8334 Japan
ryang@koto.kpu-m.ac.jp

Abstract

We construct the retarded Green function and the Hadamard function in the Lorentzian (d+1)-dimensional anti-de Sitter spacetime for the Poincaré coordinate by performing the mode integration directly. We explore the structure of singularities for the position-space Feynman propagator derived from them. The boundary scaling limits of the bulk Feynman propagator yield the bulk-boundary propagator and the boundary conformal correlation function with an extra factor.

September, 1999

The Maldacena conjecture has brought a renewed interest in the relationship between the large N Yang-Mills theory and the string theory [1]. In particular the semiclassical limit of IIB superstring theory on $AdS_5 \times S_5$ is related to the large N limit of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory. This AdS/CFT correspondence [1, 2, 3] between the quantum gravity in the anti-de Sitter space and the conformal gauge theory on the boundary has been considered in the Euclidean space. The correlation functions for the boundary conformal theory have been computed from the bulk dynamics in the AdS space [4, 5, 6].

The AdS/CFT correspondence in the Lorentzian spacetime and scattering processes have been investigated [7, 8, 9, 10]. There are trials to extract the local and causal gravity dynamics in the Lorentzian AdS spacetime from the boundary conformal field theory [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. From this view point the conformal correlation function is interpreted as the S-matrix in the flat space limit [12, 13] or some kind of the S-matrix [14, 15]. The bulk massive scalar field in the Lorentzian AdS spacetime is composed of the normalizable propagating modes and the non-normalizable modes whose boundary values act as sources for conformal operators in the boundary theory [8]. The normalizable modes are quantized in the curved AdS spacetime and vanish at the boundary. They correspond to states in the Hilbert space of the boundary conformal theory. Generally there are many Green functions in a Lorentzian curved spacetime. For the AdS spacetime the Feynman propagator of the massive scalar field in the momentum space has been presented as a sum of these normalizable modes [14]. With respect to the retarded Green function the configuration form in the $(d+1)$-dimensional AdS spacetime for the Poincaré coordinate has been derived by carrying out the mode integration in the lower dimensions and taking advantage of a recursion relation between the AdS_{d-1} Green function and the AdS_{d+1} one [17].

For the Lorentzian two-dimensional AdS spacetime the Hadamard functions in the configuration space have been constructed by summing the normalizable modes about various vacua which correspond to selections of the time coordinate [18]. The Hadamard function in the global vacuum or the Poincaré vacuum is expressed in terms of the SL(2,R)-invariant distance variable, while in the Boulware vacuum it is not so expressed. The short-distance behaviors of the Hadamard functions of massive scalars are investigated and the massless limits of them are shown to yield the usual massless Green functions on the strip or the half plane that are described by logarithmic functions. From the short-distance behaviors the vacuum expectation values of the stress tensors are studied in the various AdS_{1+1} vacua.

We will try to construct the Hadamard function in the Lorentzian $(d+1)$-dimensional AdS spacetime for the Poincaré coordinate. For that purpose, first in the momentum representation of the retarded Green function we will perform the mode integration directly in AdS_{d+1} by using a recursion relation of the Bessel functions. We will use this direct method to determine the position-space Hadamard function, which will be compactly described in the differential expressions. Combining them we will derive the Feynman propagator, which is represented in the configuration space so that we can analyse what kinds of singularities appear. We will demonstrate what happens in the $(d+1)$-dimensional bulk Feynman propagator containing the Heaviside functions, when one argument is taken to the boundary. In this boundary scaling limit the bulk-boundary propagator of the Lorentzian signature version will be extracted.

We consider a massive scalar field in the Lorentzian $(d+1)$-dimensional AdS spacetime

expressed by the Poincaré coordinate $(t,\vec{x},z)=(x,z), ds^2=R^2/z^2(-dt^2+d\vec{x}^2+dz^2)$, where $(t,\vec{x})$ spans the d-dimensional Minkowski spacetime and $0\leq z\leq\infty$ with a boundary at $z=0$ and a horizon at $z=\infty$. The general regular solution of the bulk wave equation is sum of a fluctuating normalizable mode solution, whose existence is a feature of Lorentzian signature, and a non-normalizable mode solution [8]. Near the boundary the propagating mode behaves as z^{2h_+}, while the non-fluctuating mode as z^{2h_-} with $2h_\pm=d/2\pm\nu=d/2\pm\sqrt{m^2R^2+d^2/4}$ where m is mass of the scalar field. Hereafter we will set $R=1$. For the Poincaré vacuum the propagating bulk mode solution is expanded as

$$\Phi(z,x)=\int dqd^{d-1}\vec{k}z^{\frac{d}{2}}J_\nu(qz)\left(\frac{q}{2(2\pi)^{d-1}\omega}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}(e^{-i\omega t+i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}\hat{b}_{q\vec{k}}+\text{h.c.}) \tag{1}$$

with $\omega^2=q^2+k^2$ [14]. From the small z expansion of the Bessel functions $J_\nu(qz)$ we see that the normalizable mode indeed vanishes as $\Phi\sim z^{d/2+\nu}$ at the boundary. The annihilation operators $\hat{b}_{q\vec{k}}$ define the Poincaré vacuum $|0>$ as $\hat{b}_{q\vec{k}}|0>=0$ and obey the commutation relations with the creation operators $\hat{b}^\dagger_{q\vec{k}}$, $[\hat{b}_{q\vec{k}},\hat{b}^\dagger_{q'\vec{k}'}]=\delta(q-q')\delta^{d-1}(\vec{k}-\vec{k}')$.

We begin with the retarded Green function defined by $G_R(z,x;z',x')=-\epsilon(t-t')/2i<0|[\Phi(z,x),\Phi(z',x')]|0>$. Through the commutation relations it can be expressed as

$$-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon(t-t')\int_0^\infty dqq(zz')^{d/2}J_\nu(qz)J_\nu(qz')\Delta(x,x') \tag{2}$$

with $i\Delta(x,x')=\int dk_0d^{d-1}\vec{k}/(2\pi)^{d-1}\epsilon(k_0)\delta(k_0^2-\omega^2)\exp[-ik_0(t-t')+i\vec{k}\cdot(\vec{x}-\vec{x'})]$. For the AdS_{2+1} case by carrying out an integration [19]

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty dqqJ_\nu(qz)J_\nu(qz')J_0(qs)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi^3}}\frac{1}{zz'}[-i\sin\nu\pi\theta(s-z-z')(U^2-1)^{-\frac{1}{4}}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(U)\\ +\frac{\pi}{2}\theta(z+z'-s)\theta(s-|z-z'|)(1-V^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}}P^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(V)],\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $s=\sqrt{(t-t')^2-(x-x')^2}=\sqrt{-\Delta x^2}, U=(-\Delta x^2-z^2-z'^2)/2zz'$ and $V=(\Delta x^2+z^2+z'^2)/2zz'$, we have

$$G_R=\frac{\theta(-\Delta x^2)}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}}[-i\sin\nu\pi\theta(U-1)(U^2-1)^{-\frac{1}{4}}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(U)+\frac{\pi}{2}\theta(1-|V|)(1-V^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}}P^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(V)]. \tag{4}$$

Here $P^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}},Q^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}$ are the associated Legendre functions of the first and second kinds. Next the AdS_{3+1} case requires an integration of $\int dqq^{3/2}J_{-1/2}(qs)J_\nu(qz)J_\nu(qz')$, however which is divergent. Through a recursion relation $J_{-1/2}(u)=(d/du+1/2u)J_{1/2}(u)$ it becomes $(d/ds+1/2s)\int dqq^{1/2}J_{1/2}(qs)J_\nu(qz)J_\nu(qz')$. This finite integration [19] leads to

$$\begin{aligned}G_R=\frac{\theta(-\Delta x^2)}{4\pi^2}\frac{zz'}{s}[\sin(\nu-\frac{1}{2})\pi(\delta(s-z-z')Q_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(U)+\theta(s-z-z')\frac{dQ_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(U)}{ds})\\ +\frac{\pi}{2}((\delta(s-|z-z'|)-\delta(z+z'-s))P_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(V)+\theta(z+z'-s)\theta(s-|z-z'|)\frac{dP_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(V)}{ds})],\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $P_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}, Q_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}$ are the Legendre functions of the first and second kinds. This differential expression with respect to s as well as (4) are identical with those presented in Ref. [17]. It can be further simplified in terms of U and V as

$$G_R = \frac{\theta(-\Delta x^2)}{4\pi^2}[\sin(\nu-\frac{1}{2})\pi\frac{d}{dU}(\theta(U-1)Q_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(U)) - \frac{\pi}{2}\frac{d}{dV}(\theta(1-|V|)P_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(V))]. \quad (6)$$

In deriving this compact expression we have used $\theta(1-|V|) = \theta(1-V)\theta(1+V)$ and $\frac{d}{dV}\theta(1-\sqrt{V^2}) = -\epsilon(V)\delta(1-\sqrt{V^2}) = \delta(1+V)-\delta(1-V)$ and observed that the factor zz' is canceled. We have used the recursion relation of Bessel functions, which prescription will be extended in considering the general AdS_{d+1} case. In view of $U = -1-\sigma, V = 1+\sigma$, the retarded Green function is described as a function of the AdS-invariant distance variable $\sigma = (\Delta x^2 + (z-z')^2)/2zz'$. Moreover we proceed to determine G_R in AdS_{4+1}. Substituting the usual four-dimensional invariant Δ function $\Delta = -\epsilon(t-t')/2\pi[\delta(\Delta x^2) - q\theta(-\Delta x^2)J_1(q\sqrt{-\Delta x^2})/2\sqrt{-\Delta x^2}]$ into (2) we note that the first term is evaluated by using

$$\int_0^\infty dqqJ_\nu(qz)J_\nu(qz') = \frac{\delta(z-z')}{\sqrt{zz'}} \quad (7)$$

however, the second term is so divergent that $J_1(q\sqrt{-\Delta x^2})$ should be replaced by $\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta x^2}}{qr}\frac{\partial J_0}{\partial r}$ with $r = |\vec{x}-\vec{x'}|$. Applying of (3) yields an involved expression

$$G_R = -\frac{1}{8\pi}[-2(zz')^{\frac{3}{2}}\delta(\Delta x^2)\delta(z-z') + \theta(-\Delta x^2)\frac{i\sin\nu\pi}{\sqrt{\pi^3/2}}\frac{d}{dU}(\theta(U-1)\frac{Q^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(U)}{(U^2-1)^{1/4}})$$
$$+\frac{\theta(-\Delta x^2)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}((\delta(V+1)-\delta(V-1))\frac{P^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(V)}{(1-V^2)^{1/4}} + \theta(1-|V|)\frac{d}{dV}\frac{P^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(V)}{(1-V^2)^{1/4}})]. \quad (8)$$

In the following, we attempt a general derivation of the retarded Green function in AdS_{d+1}. Performing the integrations over k_0 and angular variables in $\Delta(x,x')$ of (2) leaves the radial integration over $k = |\vec{k}|$, $\int_0^\infty dkk^{\frac{d-1}{2}}J_{\frac{d-3}{2}}(kr)\sin(\sqrt{k^2+q^2}(t-t'))/\sqrt{k^2+q^2}$ which is not convergent. Using the recursion relations of Bessel functions we construct interesting relations for $d =$ odd

$$J_{\frac{d-3}{2}}(kr) = \frac{1}{k^{\frac{d-3}{2}}}D(\frac{d-5}{2})D(\frac{d-7}{2})\cdots D(1)D(0)J_0(kr) \quad (9)$$

with $D(a) = -d/dr + a/r$, and for $d =$ even

$$J_{\frac{d-3}{2}}(kr) = \frac{1}{k^{\frac{d-2}{2}}}D(\frac{d-5}{2})D(\frac{d-7}{2})\cdots D(\frac{1}{2})D(-\frac{1}{2})J_{-\frac{1}{2}}(kr). \quad (10)$$

These relations make the radial integration finite. For even d the finite radial integral becomes $\epsilon(t-t')\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}D(\frac{d-5}{2})\cdots D(-\frac{1}{2})(\theta(-\Delta x^2)r^{-1/2}J_0(q\sqrt{-\Delta x^2}))$. We again use (3) to obtain a formal expression

$$G_R = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}\frac{(zz')^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}{r^{\frac{d-3}{2}}}D(\frac{d-5}{2})\cdots D(-\frac{1}{2})\theta(-\Delta x^2)r^{-\frac{1}{2}}[-i\sin\nu\pi$$
$$\times\theta(U-1)(U^2-1)^{-\frac{1}{4}}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(U) + \frac{\pi}{2}\theta(1-|V|)(1-V^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}}P^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(V)]. \quad (11)$$

Owing to a factor $r^{-1/2}$, for instance $D(\frac{1}{2})D(-\frac{1}{2})r^{-1/2}f(U)$ is changed into a simple form $r^{-1/2}(r/zz')^2\frac{d^2f}{dU^2}$. Therefore the complicated formal expression is to some extent simplified as

$$\begin{aligned} G_R &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}[-i\sin\nu\pi(\frac{d}{dU})^{\frac{d-2}{2}}(\theta(-\Delta x^2)\theta(U-1)(U^2-1)^{-\frac{1}{4}}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(U)) \\ &+ \frac{\pi}{2}(-\frac{d}{dV})^{\frac{d-2}{2}}(\theta(-\Delta x^2)\theta(1-|V|)(1-V^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}}P^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(V))], \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

which is real because of imaginary $Q^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(U)$ and real $P^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(V)$. Turning to the odd d case we have the radial integral $\epsilon(t-t')\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}D(\frac{d-5}{2})\cdots D(0)(\theta(-\Delta x^2)(q/\sqrt{-\Delta x^2})^{1/2}J_{-1/2}(q\sqrt{-\Delta x^2}))$ where $J_{-1/2}(qs)$ is replaced by $q^{-1}(d/ds+1/2s)J_{1/2}(qs)$. We again obtain a formal expression

$$\begin{aligned} G_R = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}(\frac{zz'}{r})^{\frac{d-3}{2}}D(\frac{d-5}{2})\cdots D(0)\theta(-\Delta x^2)[\sin(\nu-\frac{1}{2})\pi \\ \times\frac{d}{dU}(\theta(U-1)Q_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(U)) - \frac{\pi}{2}\frac{d}{dV}(\theta(1-|V|)P_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(V))], \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

which is also simplified as

$$\begin{aligned} G_R &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}[\sin(\nu-\frac{1}{2})\pi(\frac{d}{dU})^{\frac{d-3}{2}}(\theta(-\Delta x^2)\frac{d}{dU}(\theta(U-1)Q_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(U))) \\ &- \frac{\pi}{2}(-\frac{d}{dV})^{\frac{d-3}{2}}(\theta(-\Delta x^2)\frac{d}{dV}(\theta(1-|V|)P_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(V)))], \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

whose two terms are real. This result, when $d=3$, becomes (6). For $d=2$ the expression (12) leads to (4), while for $d=4$ the agreement between (12) and (8) can be shown through a relation which is obtained by putting $\Delta x^2=0$ in (3) and combining with (7). For massless scalars $\nu=d/2$ the retarded Green functions, (12) and (14) are further simplified to be described only by $P^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(V)$ and $P_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(V)$ respectively.

Here based on above the prescription we are able to construct the Hadamard function $G^{(1)}(z,x;z',x') =< 0|\{\Phi(z,x),\Phi(z',x')\}|0 >$ in AdS_{d+1}. Substituting the mode expansion (1) we have

$$G^{(1)} = \int_0^\infty dqq(zz')^{\frac{d}{2}}J_\nu(qz)J_\nu(qz')\int\frac{d^{d-1}\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^{d-1}}\frac{e^{i\vec{k}\cdot(\vec{x}-\vec{x'})}}{\sqrt{k^2+q^2}}\cos\sqrt{k^2+q^2}(t-t'). \tag{15}$$

In the integration over $\vec{k}$ performing the angular integrations gives rise to a radial one $\int_0^\infty dkk^{\frac{d-1}{2}}J_{\frac{d-3}{2}}(kr)\cos(\sqrt{k^2+q^2}(t-t'))/\sqrt{k^2+q^2}$ which is divergent. For even d, $J_{\frac{d-3}{2}}(kr)$ is replaced by $J_{-\frac{1}{2}}(kr)$ through the successive relation (10) so that the radial integration can be carried out as $D(\frac{d-5}{2})\cdots D(-\frac{1}{2})r^{-1/2}[-\theta(-\Delta x^2)\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}N_0(q\sqrt{-\Delta x^2})+\theta(\Delta x^2)\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}K_0(q\sqrt{\Delta x^2})]$ where N_0 is the Neumann function and K_0 is the modified Bessel function of the second kind. Using a formula in Ref. [20] for $\int dqqJ_\nu(qz)J_\nu(qz')N_0(q\sqrt{-\Delta x^2})$ we arrive at

$$G^{(1)} = -\frac{2}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}[-i\cos\nu\pi(\frac{d}{dU})^{\frac{d-2}{2}}\theta(-\Delta x^2)\theta(U-1)(U^2-1)^{-\frac{1}{4}}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$+ \quad (-\frac{d}{dV})^{\frac{d-2}{2}}\theta(-\Delta x^2)(-\theta(1-|V|)(1-V^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} + i\theta(V-1)(V^2-1)^{-\frac{1}{4}}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}})$$
$$+ \quad i(-\frac{d}{dV})^{\frac{d-2}{2}}\theta(\Delta x^2)(V^2-1)^{-\frac{1}{4}}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}], \tag{16}$$

where each argument of $Q^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}$ has been suppressed, however every term is real. The $d =$ odd case requires that $J_{\frac{d-3}{2}}(kr)$ is put backward to $J_0(kr)$ through (9). The radial integration can be carried out to be $D(\frac{d-5}{2})\cdots D(0)q^{1/2}[-\theta(-\Delta x^2)(\sqrt{-\Delta x^2})^{-1/2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}N_{-1/2}(q\sqrt{-\Delta x^2}) + \theta(\Delta x^2)(\sqrt{\Delta x^2})^{-1/2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}K_{1/2}(q\sqrt{\Delta x^2})]$. But the first term of the remaining integration given by $\int dqq^{3/2}J_\nu(qz)J_\nu(qz')N_{-1/2}(q\sqrt{-\Delta x^2})$ is so divergent that $N_{-1/2}(qs)$ should be replaced by $q^{-1}(d/ds+1/2s)N_{1/2}(qs)$. The integration $\int dqq^{1/2}J_\nu(qz)J_\nu(qz')N_{1/2}(q\sqrt{-\Delta x^2})$ [20] produces a factor $(\sqrt{-\Delta x^2})^{-1/2}$, which converts the operation $d/ds + 1/2s$ into d/dU or d/dV, in the same way as the step to (5), (6). Making the successive operations of $D(a)$ we derive the Hadamard function

$$\begin{aligned} G^{(1)} &= -\frac{2}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}[\cos(\nu-\frac{1}{2})\pi(\frac{d}{dU})^{\frac{d-3}{2}}(\theta(-\Delta x^2)\frac{d}{dU}(\theta(U-1)Q_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}})) \\ &+ (-\frac{d}{dV})^{\frac{d-3}{2}}(\theta(-\Delta x^2)\frac{d}{dV}(\theta(1-|V|)Q_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} + \theta(V-1)Q_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}})) \\ &+ (-\frac{d}{dV})^{\frac{d-3}{2}}(\theta(\Delta x^2)\frac{d}{dV}Q_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}})], \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

whose every term is real. Thus we construct the Hadamard function in AdS_{d+1} expressed in terms of the invariant distance variable σ. The last two terms in (16) and (17) show contributions from the spacelike separation. The timelike region is composed of two parts which are characterized by $\theta(U-1)$ and $\theta(1-|V|)$.

Gathering together we can obtain the Feynman propagator $iG_F = G_R + \frac{i}{2}G^{(1)}$ in AdS_{d+1}. For even d it is given by

$$\begin{aligned} iG_F &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}[-e^{i\nu\pi}(\frac{d}{dU})^{\frac{d-2}{2}}\theta(-\Delta x^2)\theta(U-1)(U^2-1)^{-\frac{1}{4}}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ (-\frac{d}{dV})^{\frac{d-2}{2}}\theta(-\Delta x^2)\theta(1-|V|)(1-V^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}}(\frac{\pi}{2}P^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} + iQ^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &+ (-\frac{d}{dV})^{\frac{d-2}{2}}\theta(V-1)(V^2-1)^{-\frac{1}{4}}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}], \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where an identity $\theta(\Delta x^2) = \theta(\Delta x^2)\theta(V-1)$ has been used. It is noted that each first term in G_R (12) and $G^{(1)}$ (16) combines to yield a phase factor $e^{i\nu\pi}$ and the combination $\frac{\pi}{2}P^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(V) + iQ^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(V)$ is suggestively expressed as $e^{-i\pi/4}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(V+i\epsilon)$. This phase factor $e^{i\nu\pi}$ appears similarly in the Feynman propagator for odd d

$$\begin{aligned} iG_F &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}[-e^{i\nu\pi}(\frac{d}{dU})^{\frac{d-3}{2}}\theta(-\Delta x^2)\frac{d}{dU}(\theta(U-1)Q_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &- (-\frac{d}{dV})^{\frac{d-3}{2}}\theta(-\Delta x^2)\frac{d}{dV}(\theta(1-|V|)(\frac{\pi}{2}P_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} + iQ_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}})) \\ &- i(-\frac{d}{dV})^{\frac{d-3}{2}}\theta(V-1)\frac{d}{dV}Q_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}], \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where the combination $\frac{\pi}{2}P_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(V)+iQ_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(V)$ is also expressed as $iQ_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(V+i\epsilon)$.

Now we are ready to compare the retarded Green function G_R and the Feynman propagator G_F in the Lorentzian AdS_{d+1} spacetime. We note that as shown in (12), (14) G_R is zero outside the light-cone. In AdS_{2+1}, G_R (4) is supported in the timelike region $\sigma<0$ with no delta-function singularity, while G_R (6) in AdS_{3+1} and G_R (8) in AdS_{4+1} have contributions from not only the light-cone which contains coincident points and is specified by $\delta(V-1)$, but also the timelike region which is specified by $\theta(U-1)$ and $\theta(1-|V|)$. Moreover the latter ones are singular on the reflected light-cone as expressed by $\delta(U-1)$ or $\delta(V+1)$, that is $\delta(\sigma+2)$. This second possible singularity occurs at $\Delta x^2+(z+z')^2=0$, which comes from an image field source at $z'_I=-z'$. On the other hand as seen in the last term in (18) or (19) G_F has additional nonzero contribution from the spacelike region specified by $V>1$, which originates in $G^{(1)}$. The Feynman propagator in (18) for even d is singular at $U=1$ and $V=\pm 1$ in view of $Q^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(U)=i\sqrt{\pi/2}(U^2-1)^{-\frac{1}{4}}(U+\sqrt{U^2-1})^{-\nu}$ for $U>1$, $P^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(V)=\sqrt{2/\pi}(1-V^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}}\cos(\nu\cos^{-1}V)$ for $|V|<1$ and so on. For odd d case $Q_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}$ in (19) has logarithmical singularities so that as d becomes larger through increasing differentiations G_F contains more various combinations of singularities not only at the light-cone $V=1$, but also at the reflected light-cone , $U=1$ and $V=-1$. It is interesting to note that the Feynman propagator for the massless scalar $\nu=3/2$ in AdS_{3+1} can be expressed in terms of a logarithmic function as

$$\begin{aligned} G_F &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}[\theta(-\Delta x^2)\frac{d}{dU}(\theta(U-1)(\frac{U}{2}\ln\frac{U+1}{U-1}-1))-\theta(-\Delta x^2)\frac{d}{dV}(\theta(1-|V|) \\ &\times (\frac{V+i\epsilon}{2}\ln\frac{V+i\epsilon+1}{V+i\epsilon-1}-1))-\frac{d}{dV}(\theta(V-1)(\frac{V}{2}\ln\frac{V+1}{V-1}-1))]. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

This explicit expression indeed contains various combinations of the logarithmical, inverse and delta-function's singularities at the light-cone and the reflected light-cone.

Let us take the boundary scaling limit $z'\to 0$ for the obtained Feynman propagator. The leading part of the bulk Feynman propagator for even d, when the spacelike separation is chosen, is estimated as

$$iG_F(z,x;z',x')\simeq i\theta(\Delta x^2+z^2)(-1)^{\frac{d}{2}}\frac{\sin 2h_+\pi}{2\nu\sin\nu\pi}z'^{2h_+}G_{B\partial}(z,x;x') \tag{21}$$

with $G_{B\partial}=\Gamma(2h_+)/(\Gamma(\nu)\pi^{d/2})(z/(\Delta x^2+z^2))^{2h_+}$, which is expressed as $iG_F\simeq i\theta(\Delta x^2+z^2)z'^{2h_+}G_{B\partial}/2\nu$. Thus by taking a large V limit we have extracted the bulk-boundary propagator $G_{B\partial}$ from the last term in (18), which arises from $G^{(1)}$ alone. In this case the scaling limit $z'\to 0$ is effectively the same as the large spacelike distance limit. When a large U limit is taken the first term gives a scaling limit of iG_F for the timelike separation

$$iG_F(z,x;z',x')\simeq i\theta(-\Delta x^2-z^2)e^{i\nu\pi}\frac{\sin 2h_+\pi}{2\nu\sin\nu\pi}z'^{2h_+}\tilde{G}_{B\partial}(z,x;x') \tag{22}$$

with $\tilde{G}_{B\partial}=\Gamma(2h_+)/(\Gamma(\nu)\pi^{d/2})(z/(-\Delta x^2-z^2))^{2h_+}$, where we have taken account of an identity $\theta(-\Delta x^2-z^2)\theta(-\Delta x^2)=\theta(-\Delta x^2-z^2)$. It is also described by $iG_F\simeq i\theta(-\Delta x^2-$

$z^2)z'^{2h_+}G_{B\partial}/2\nu$. This scaling limit is identical with the large timelike interval limit. Whether the boundary scaling limit is taken from the spacelike direction or the timelike direction, the Feynman propagator shows the same behavior. The phase factor $e^{i\nu\pi}$ plays a role to obtain the same expression. Furthermore, in the odd d case the last term in (19) gives the expression (21) with $(-1)^{d/2}/\sin\nu\pi$ replaced by $(-1)^{(d-1)/2}/\cos\nu\pi$ for the spacelike separation, from which we have again $iG_F \simeq i\theta(\Delta x^2+z^2)z'^{2h_+}G_{B\partial}/2\nu$, while the first term provides the expression (22) with $i/\sin\nu\pi$ replaced by $-1/\cos\nu\pi$ for the timelike separation, which takes the form $iG_F \simeq i\theta(-\Delta x^2-z^2)z'^{2h_+}G_{B\partial}/2\nu$. Though the expression of the Feynman propagator depends on the dimension of AdS spacetime, we have observed that the scaling behaviors are the same for the even and odd dimensions, as shown by

$$iG_F \simeq i(\theta(\Delta x^2+z^2)+\theta(-\Delta x^2-z^2))z'^{2h_+}G_{B\partial}/2\nu = iz'^{2h_+}G_{B\partial}/2\nu. \tag{23}$$

It is interesting that the two Heaviside functions happen to combine into unity. We shoud mention that this compact expression is presented up to the delta-function terms. Thus from the explicit form of the bulk Feynman propagator we derive the bulk-boundary propagator, however an extra factor $1/2\nu$ is accompanied. The factor $1/2\nu = 1/(4h_+ - d)$ was first emphasized in Ref. [15] by using an AdS variant of the Green theorem. It was argued in the classical evaluation of the action for a massive scalar field in the Eulidean AdS space for the Poincaré coordinate [21]. Recently in Ref. [22] with respect to the global coordinate the Feynman propagator in the Lorentzian AdS_{d+1} spacetime has been investigated and from it the bulk-boundary propagator has been extracted with the factor $1/2\nu$.

Here we analyse the short-distance property of the Hadamard function. If the exact form of the symmetric function $G^{(1)}$ is known, it is possible to calculate the vacuum expectation value of the square of scalar field. For simplicity we will consider the AdS_{2+1} spacetime. In order to extract the short-distance property we must expand $G^{(1)}$ around $V=1$. For the spacelike separation $\sigma > 0$ with $V = 1+\sigma$ the last two terms in (16) yield $G^{(1)} \sim \theta(\sigma)/2\pi(1/\sqrt{2\sigma} - \nu + O(\sqrt{\sigma}))$, where imaginary $Q^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}$ itself is expanded. For the timelike separation $\sigma < 0$ the second term in (16) also gives $G^{(1)} \sim -\theta(-\sigma)\nu/2\pi$ where real $Q^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(V)$ for $|V|<1$ expressed in terms of a hypergeometric function is expanded by using a relation between the hypergeometric function with argument V^2 and that with $1-V^2$. In this evaluation we have seen that the singular terms of the form $1/\sqrt{2\sigma}$ are canceled out. But in analysing the Feynman propagator (18) with $d=2$, the additional $P^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}$ term yields the singular term $1/\sqrt{2\sigma}$ for the timelike separation. By subtraction of the divergence in the coincidence limit for the Hadamard function $G^{(1)} \sim (\theta(\sigma)/\sqrt{2\sigma} - \nu)/2\pi$ we obtain a regularized value $<0|\Phi^2|0> \sim -\nu/4\pi$, whose negative sign is also seen in the squared-mass term for the vacuum expectation value of the stress tensor in AdS_{1+1} for the global vacuum [18]

It was suggested that there is a simple relation $\mathcal{O}(x) = \lim_{z\to 0} z^{-\Delta}\Phi(z,x)$ between a quantum scalar bulk field $\Phi(z,x)$ and the corresponding dual boundary operator $\mathcal{O}(x)$ with conformal dimension $\Delta = 2h_+$ [7, 14]. Here combining this relation with (23) and taking the remaining z to the boundary we have the conformal correlation function

$$<0|T(\mathcal{O}(x)\mathcal{O}(x')|0> \simeq \frac{1}{2\nu}\frac{\Gamma(\Delta)}{\Gamma(\nu)\pi^{d/2}(\Delta x^2)^{\Delta}}. \tag{24}$$

For the AdS/CFT correspondence in the Euclidean AdS_{d+1} space the conformal two-point function is given by $< \mathcal{O}_E(x)\mathcal{O}_E(x') >= ((2\Delta - d)\Gamma(\Delta)/\pi^{d/2}\Gamma(\Delta - d/2))|x - x'|^{-2\Delta}$, whose extra factor $(2\Delta - d)$ was derived by putting the boundary at $z = \epsilon$ and taking ϵ to 0 [2, 4] and further proved to be consistent with the Ward identities [5]. This factor has been argued from the different view point in Ref. [21], where the boundary behavior of the scalar field is expressed as $\Phi(z, x) \to z^{d-\Delta}\Phi_0(x) + z^{\Delta}A(x)$ where $\Phi_0(x)$ is a source function. The two-point function of the physical fluctuation $A(x)$, which rather corresponds to our conformal field $\mathcal{O}(x)$, has been presented as $< A(x)A(x') >= (\Gamma(\Delta)/2\nu\pi^{d/2}\Gamma(\nu))|x - x'|^{-2\Delta}$, where the normalization $A(x) = \mathcal{O}_E(x)/(2\Delta - d)$ is taken into account. This correlator in the Euclidean space for the Poincaré coordinate shows the same behavior as our obtained correlation function (24) in the Lorentzian spacetime.

By carrying out the mode integrations we have constructed the configuration forms of the Hadamard function and the Feynman propagator in the $(d+1)$-dimensional Lorentzian AdS spacetime for the Poincaré coordinate. They are expressed in terms of an AdS-invariant distance variable. The construction of the Hadamard function has been guided by the experience with the direct evaluation of the retarded Green function. Though the real retarded Green function is described by the (associated) Legendre functions of the first and second kinds, we have observed that the combined complex Feynman propagator is analytically described by only those of the second kind.

It has been shown that for the spacelike separation the retarded Green function is zero, while the Feynman propagator indicates a power fall-off. This power behavior is compared with the exponential tail of the Feynman propagator for the large spacelike separation in the flat Minkowski spacetime. The power fall-off in the spacelike IR limit is intimately connected with the boundary scaling limit producing the bulk-boundary propagator. In the timelike IR limit the Feynman propagator shows the same power fall-off that is also associated with the boundary scaling limit for the timelike separation. In the higher-dimensional AdS spacetime, owing to the increase of the differential operations, the Feynman propagator becomes more singular and more involved with a variety of singularities.

We have seen that the evaluation of the Hadamard function depends on the dimension of AdS spacetime, even or odd, corresponding to that of the retarded Green function. For the bulk Feynman propagator, which is characterized by the Heaviside functions that divide the timelike and spacelike regions in the Lorentzian AdS spacetime, we have taken one argument to the boundary and exhibited that it reduces to the bulk-boundary propagator with a preferable factor $1/2\nu$. In this reduction the Heaviside function dependence disappears and there is no difference between the even-dimensional case and the odd one. Moreover this factor is left intact in the two-point function of the dual boundary operator, when the other argument is taken to the boundary.

Though we have estimated the vacuum expectation value of the square of scalar field, it would be interesting to study that of the stress tensor from the obtained Hadamard function and ask how differences appear between the even-dimensional AdS case and the odd one. The position forms of the Feynman propagator and the Hadamard function would provide important tools with which to examine in greater detail the Lorentzian AdS/CFT correspondence from the dynamical view point.

References

[1] J.M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231, hep-th/9711200.

[2] S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. **B428** (1998) 105, hep-th/9802109.

[3] E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 253, hep-th/9802150.

[4] W. Mück and K.S. Viswanathan, Phys. Rev. **D58** (1998) 041901, hep-th/9804035.

[5] D.Z. Freedman, S.D. Mathur, A. Matusis and L. Rastelli, Nucl. Phys. **B546** (1999) 96, hep-th/9804058.

[6] H. Liu and A.A. Tseytlin, Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999) 086002, hep-th/9807097; E. D'Hoker, D.Z. Freedman and L. Rastelli, *AdS/CFT 4-point functions: How to succeed at z-integrals without really trying*, hep-th/9905049.

[7] T. Banks, M.R. Douglas, G.T. Horowitz and E. Martinec, *AdS Dynamics from Conformal Field Theory*, hep-th/9808016.

[8] V. Balasubramanian, P. Kraus and A. Lawrence, Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999) 046003, hep-th/9805171; V. Balasubramanian, P. Kraus, A. Lawrence and S. Trivedi, Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999) 104021, hep-th/9808017.

[9] E. Keski-Vakkuri, Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999) 104001, hep-th/9808037.

[10] G. Chalmers and K. Schalm, *The large N_c limit of four-point functions in $N = 4$ super-Yang-Mills theory from anti-de Sitter supergravity*, hep-th/9810051.

[11] G.T. Horowitz and N. Itzhaki, JHEP **02** (1999) 010, hep-th/9901012.

[12] J. Polchinski, *S-Matrices from AdS Spacetime*, hep-th/9901076.

[13] L. Susskind, *Holography in the Flat Space Limit*, hep-th/9901079.

[14] V. Balasubramanian, S.B. Giddings and A. Lawrence, JHEP **03** (1999) 001, hep-th/9902052.

[15] S.B. Giddings, *The boundary S-matrix and the AdS to CFT dictionary*, hep-th/9903048.

[16] D. Bak and S.-J. Rey, *Holographic View of Causality and Locality via Branes in AdS/CFT Correspondence*, hep-th/9902101; J. Polchinski, L. Susskind and N. Toumbas, *Negative Energy, Superluminosity and Holography*, hep-th/9903228; M. Li, *Energy-Momentum Conservation and Holographic S-Matrix*, hep-th/9904164.

[17] U.H. Danielsson, E. Keski-Vakkuri and M. Kruczenski, JHEP **01** (1999) 002, hep-th/9912007.

[18] M. Spradlin and A. Strominger, *Vacuum States for AdS_2 Black Holes*, hep-th/9904143.

[19] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, *Tables of Integrals, Series and Products* (Academic Press, San Diego, 1994).

[20] A.P. Prudnikov, Yu.A. Brychkov and O.I. Marichev, *Integrals and Series: Vol 2: Special Functions* (New York, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1986).

[21] I.R. Klebanov and E. Witten, *AdS/CFT Correspondence and Symmetry Breaking*, hep-th/9905104.

[22] S.B. Giddings, *Flat-space scattering and bulk locality in the AdS/CFT correspondence*, hep-th/9907129.